	Case 2:21-cv-01109-JAM-DB Docume	nt 10 Filed 08/30/21 Page 1 of 4	
1 2 3 4 5	Gregory B. Thomas (SBN 239870) E-mail: gthomas@bwslaw.com Michael A. Slater (SBN 318899) E-mail: mslater@bwslaw.com BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612-3501 Tel: 510.273.8780 Fax: 510.839.9104 Attorneys for Defendant		
6	COUNŤY OF SAN JOAQUIN		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MELBA SHAHEED, individually and as co-successor-in-interest to EBIMOTOMI	Case No. 2:21-cv-01109-JAM-DB	
12	AREICE SUALLA; HANEEF SHAHEED, individually and as co-successor-in-interest	STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS WITH LEAVE TO FILE A	
13	to EBIMOTOMI AREKE SUALLA,	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANT	
14	Plaintiffs,	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING	
15	v.		
16	COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: June 22, 2021 Trial Date: TBD	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	DI : CCC M II CI I I I II		
20	Plaintiffs Melba Shaheed and Haneef Shaheed (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Defendar		
21	San Joaquin County (the "County") (altogether collectively, the "parties"), by and through the		
22	attorneys of record in the above-captioned matter, hereby stipulate and agree that:		
23		nplaint on June 22, 2021 ¹ (ECF No. 1);	
24	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs served the Co	ounty with the Summons and Complaint on June 24	
25	(ECF No. 4);		
26	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule	e 144(a) of the United States District Court for the	
27			
28	1 All dates refer to dates in 2021 unle	ss otherwise indicated	

¹ All dates refer to dates in 2021 unless otherwise indicated.

Case 2:21-cv-01109-JAM-DB Document 10 Filed 08/30/21 Page 2 of 4

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

Eastern District of California, the parties stipulated to extend the County's deadline for responding to Plaintiffs' Complaint to August 9 (ECF No. 5);

WHEREAS, pursuant to Judge Mendez's "Order Re Filing Requirements" (ECF No. 3-2), on August 2 and August 4, counsel for the parties met and conferred to attempt to informally narrow or resolve the issues to be raised in the County's contemplated Rule 12 motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint;

WHEREAS, as a result of counsel for the parties' good-faith meet and confer efforts, the parties stipulated (1) to give Plaintiffs until August 16 to file a First Amended Complaint to address some or all of the issues which would have been raised in the County's contemplated Rule 12 motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' original Complaint, and (2) to extend the County's responsive pleading deadline to August 30 (ECF No. 6), which this Court granted on August 9 (ECF No. 7);

WHEREAS, on August 16, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 8);

WHEREAS, pursuant to Judge Mendez's "Order Re Filing Requirements" (ECF No. 3-2), on August 23, 24 and 25, counsel for the parties met and conferred to attempt to informally narrow or resolve the issues to be raised in the County's contemplated Rule 12 motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, as a result of counsel for the parties' good-faith meet and confer efforts, Plaintiffs have agreed to file a Second Amended Complaint by August 30 to address some or all of the issues which would have been raised in the County's contemplated Rule 12 motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, the parties agree there is good cause for this Court to grant Plaintiffs with leave to file a Second Amended Complaint, because no Defendants have answered and the filing of a Second Amended Complaint may obviate the need for the County to file a Rule 12 motion to dismiss;

WHEREAS, only Plaintiffs and the County are affected by the parties' joint request that this Court grant Plaintiffs with leave to file a Second Amended Complaint by August 30, and by the parties' joint request for an order extending the County's responsive pleading deadline to September 13;

- 2 -

28

OAK #4813-0150-7829 v2

	Case 2:21-cv-01109-JAM-DB Document 10 Filed 08/30/21 Page 3 of 4	
1	WHEREFORE, the parties jointly seek an order from this Court granting Plaintiffs with	
2	leave to file a Second Amended Complaint by August 30;	
3	WHEREFORE, the parties jointly seek an order from this Court extending the County's	
4	responsive pleading deadline to September 13;	
5	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, through	
6	their respective counsel, that Plaintiffs shall file their Second Amended Complaint by August 30	
7	and the County shall file its responsive pleading by September 13.	
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
9	Dated: August 27, 2021 POINTER & BUELNA, LLP	
10		
11		
12	By: /s/ Patrick M. Buelna Adante D. Pointer	
13	Patrick M. Buelna Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14	MELBA SHAHEED and HANEEF SHAHEED	
15	Dated: August 27, 2021 BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP	
16		
17	By: /s/ Michael A. Slater	
18	Gregory B. Thomas Michael A. Slater	
19	Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN	
20		
21	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION	
22	Under Eastern District of California Civil Local Rule 131(e) (Fed. R. Civ. P. 7), I attest that	
23	I obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from all of the above signatories.	
24	By: /s/ Michael A. Slater	
25	Michael A. Slater	
26		
27	///	
28		

OAK #4813-0150-7829 v2

	Case 2:21-cv-01109-JAM-DB Document 10 Filed 08/30/21 Page 4 of 4		
1			
2	<u>ORDER</u>		
3	The Court, having considered the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing, GRANT		
4	Plaintiffs with leave to file a second amended complaint by August 30, 2021, and ORDER that		
5	the County shall file its responsive pleading by September 13, 2021 .		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7			
8			
9	Dated: August 27, 2021 /s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

OAK #4813-0150-7829 v2